The PINNACLE® acetabular cup is forgiving, with generally good/excellent or improved clinical and patient-reported outcomes after total hip arthroplasty

# Introduction

A total of 32 studies reporting on clinical, radiological or patient-reported outcomes for the PINNACLE cup were identified in a systematic review (SR); representing 6,201 hips. Results are summarised for clinical (survivorship, Harris Hip Score [HHS]), radiological (cup migration), and patient-reported outcomes (Oxford Hip Score [OHS], Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index score [WOMAC]).

Follow-up periods were grouped into short- (0-4 years), medium- (5-10 years) and long-term (>10 years).

# Results – clinical outcomes

### Survivorship

Four studies reported survivorship with end point revision for any cause. After a short-term follow-up, one study reported three year survivorship >97%<sup>1</sup>, one study reported three year survivorship of 99.5%<sup>2</sup>, and one study reported four year survivorship of 98.3%<sup>3</sup>. In the remaining study, eight year survivorship of 99.0% was reported<sup>4</sup>. Survivorship with end point of cup only revision was reported in two studies, with three year survivorship of 99.6% reported in one study<sup>2</sup> and five year survivorship of 99.9% reported in another study<sup>5</sup>. Survivorship with end point revision due to aseptic loosening was reported in two studies, with survivorship >98% reported at five and seven years<sup>3,6</sup>.

## Harris Hip Score (HHS)

Postoperative HHS outcomes were reported across a total of 2,560 hips from 15 studies. In two short-term studies a statistically significant improvement (p<0.05) from baseline was reported at follow-up<sup>7,8</sup>. In the remaining 13 studies, three short-term studies reported a mean score >80, rated as good and ten (five medium-term and five short-term studies) reported a mean score >90, rated as excellent.

# Results – radiological outcome

### **Cup migration**

One study, including 60 hips, reported on cup migration. After three months, a clinically significant migration of >1 mm was reported for 14.0% of the

cups. However after three months, migration decreased significantly and within 12 months, all implants except two showed stable migration. This indicated that the desired outcome of osseointegration of the POROCOAT<sup>®</sup> cup surface had taken place<sup>3</sup>.

## Results – patient-reported outcomes Oxford Hip Score (OHS)

Five short-term studies, representing 1,565 hips, reported on the OHS. Postoperative OHS ratings were described as good/excellent (OHS>80) in four studies<sup>2,4,9,10</sup>, and fair (OHS 27-33) in one study<sup>11</sup>. The study reporting a fair OHS rating may be considered unrepresentative. Although the OHS may improve out to six months postoperatively the second study used a follow-up time (six weeks) which may not capture the expected OHS improvements<sup>11</sup>.

#### Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index Score (WOMAC)

The mean WOMAC score was reported in one short-<sup>10</sup>, two medium-<sup>6,12</sup> and one long-term study<sup>13</sup>; representing 963 hips. Due to variations in WOMAC score reporting, direct comparisons between studies were not possible. However, across all four studies an improvement in the mean WOMAC score was reported postoperatively.

## Conclusion

The peer-reviewed articles summarised here demonstrate survivorship in line with the benchmark set in the 2014 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance (≥95% survivorship at ten years)<sup>14</sup>. PINNACLE registry data representing 112,201 hips also report survivorship in line with the NICE guidance. Concordant survivorship results between peer-reviewed and registry data suggests the PINNACLE cup is a forgiving system, tolerant of different levels of surgical experience, varying patient selection and regions. The PINNACLE cup is associated with generally good/excellent or improved clinical and patientreported outcomes.

#### References

**1.** Hamilton WG, McAuley JP, Dennis DA, Murphy JA, Blumenfeld TJ, Politi J. THA with Delta ceramic on ceramic: results of a multicenter investigational device exemption trial. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research. 2010 Feb;468(2):358-66.

2. Bali N, Leggetter P, Sidaginamale R, Pynsent P, Dunlop D, Pearson A. The modified corail stem - 3 year survival. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery Br. 2010;92- B(SUPP III).

**3.** Stihsen C, Rath C, Radl R, Saalabian AA, Materna W, Rehak P, et al. Early migration characteristics of a 180 porous-coated cup with 1-mm press fit. Archives of Orthopaedic & Trauma Surgery. 2013;133(5):707-12.

**4.** Whitehouse MR, Aquilina AL, Patel S, Eastaugh-Waring SJ, Blom AW. Survivorship, patient reported outcome and satisfaction following resurfacing and total hip arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2013;28(5):842-8.

**5.** Kindsfater K, Barrett WP, Dowd JE, Southworth C, Cassell MJ. Midterm survival of the pinnacle multi-liner acetabular cup in a prospective multi-centre study. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2007.

**6.** Kim YH, Park JW, Kim JS. Is Diaphyseal Stem Fixation Necessary for Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty in Patients with Osteoporotic Bone (Class C Bone)? The Journal of Arthroplasty. 2013;28(1):139-46.

**7.** Luo Q, Hu Y, Chen C, Guo Y, Zheng G, Lin B. Early clinical efficiency of large femoral head in ceramic-on-ceramic total hip arthroplasty. Chinese Journal of Tissue Engineering Research. 2012;16(9):1559-63.

8. Tokgozoglu M, Kalafat E, Caglar O, Atilla B, Alpaslan AM. Preliminary report on ceramic on metal bearings in total hip arthroplasty: 2 year minimum follow up. European Hip Society Conference. 2010.

**9.** Dienstknecht T, Luring C, Tingart M, Grifka J, Sendtner E. A minimally invasive approach for total hip arthroplasty does not diminish early post-operative outcome in obese patients: a prospective, randomised trial. International Orthopaedics. 2013;37(6):1013-8.

**10.** Schouten R, Malone AA, Tiffen C, Frampton CM, Hooper G. A prospective, randomised controlled trial comparing ceramic-on-metal and metal-on-metal bearing surfaces in total hip replacement. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery- British Volume. 2012;94(11):1462-7.

**11.** Hunt GR, Crealey G, Murthy BV, Hall GM, Constantine P, O'Brien S, et al. The consequences of early discharge after hip arthroplasty for patient outcomes and health care costs: comparison of three centres with differing durations of stay. Clinical rehabilitation 23 (12) (pp 1067-1077). 2009;23(12):1067-77.

**12.** Kim YH, Kim JS, Joo JH, Park JW. A prospective short-term outcome study of a short metaphyseal fitting total hip arthroplasty. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 2012 Jan;27(1):88-94.

**13.** Wangen H, Lereim P, Holm I, Gunderson R, Reikeras O. Hip arthroplasty in patients younger than 30 years: Excellent ten to 16-year follow-up results with a HA-coated stem. International Orthopaedics 32 (2) (pp. 2008;32(2):203-8.

**14.** NICE. Final appraisal determination: Total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for end-stage arthritis of the hip (review of technology appraisal guidance 2 and 44). 2014.

**15.** Uesugi Y, Makimoto K, Fujita K, Nishii T. Validity and responsiveness of the Oxford Hip Score in a prospective study with Japanese total hip arthroplasty patients. J Orthop Sci. 2009;14:35-9.

**16.** Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1969;51:737-55.

## Outcome grades

#### Oxford Hip score<sup>15</sup>

>41: Excellent 34-41: Good 27-33: Fair <27: Poor

#### Harris Hip Score<sup>16</sup>

90-100: Excellent 80-89: Good 70-79: Fair <70: Poor

#### WOMAC score

Due to variations in the questionnaire used in the included publications, please refer to the individual publications.



companies of Johnson Johnson

Johnson & Johnson Medical Limited PO BOX 1988, Simpson Parkway, Livingston, West Lothian, EH54 0AB, United Kingdom. Incorporated and registered in Scotland under company number SC132162.

DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. 700 Orthopaedic Drive Warsaw, IN 46582 USA Tel: +1 (800) 366 8143 Fax: +1 (574) 267 7196 DePuy International Ltd St Anthony's Road Leeds LS11 8DT England Tel: +44 (0)113 270 0461 Fax: +44 (0)113 272 4101 **DePuy (Ireland)** Loughbeg Ringaskiddy Co. Cork Ireland Tel: +353 21 4914 000 Fax: +353 21 4914 199

#### depuysynthes.com

©Johnson & Johnson Medical Limited. 2015. All rights reserved.

CA#DSEM/JRC/0914/0130 Issued: 01/15